

23 FEBRUARY 2012

challenge:

continuing from last ~~chapter~~ ^{notes}:

	walks	multiplication strategy	nested bracket pattern
n=1		$(**)$	$()$
n=2		$((**)**)$	$(())$
n=3		$(***))$	$((())$
		$((***)*)$	$((()))$
		$((*(**))*)$	$((()))$
		$((*(**))*)$	$((()))$
		$((***)**))$	$((()))$

→ Find recipe

- (a) walks ↔ bracket pattern
- (b) multiplication strategy → walks
- (c) show that (b) is bijective

my findings:
 nested bracket: (= east
) = north

Recall: $a_n = 2a_{n-1} - a_{n-2}$

solving $\lambda_{1,2} = 1$

⇒ $a_n = \text{constant}$. But;

initial cond. $a_1 = 0$
 $a_2 = 1$] NOT constant

Note, in diff eq:

$$y^n = C_{n-1} y^{n-1} + \dots + C_1 y + C_0 y^m$$

→ characteristic equation:

$$\lambda^n = C_{n-1} \lambda^{n-1} + \dots + C_1 \lambda + C_0$$

having $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ roots

solution looks like

$$\text{Thm: } y = \sum (b_i e^{\lambda_i t})$$

only if λ_i are distinct

(similarly in Lin Alg, $\lambda = \dim(A)$ then diagonalizable)

"Better Theorem" that will explain our discrepancy.

$$\text{Let } (*) \quad a_n = C_{n-1} a_{n-1} + \dots + C_{n-k} a_{n-k}$$

be a homogeneous linear recurrence of order k .

Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d$ be distinct characteristic roots.

Let e_1, \dots, e_d be multiplicities of $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d$

$$\Leftrightarrow \text{i.e. } \lambda^k - C_{n-1} \lambda^{k-1} - \dots - C_n \lambda - C_0 = (\lambda - \lambda_1)^{e_1} \cdot (\lambda - \lambda_2)^{e_2} \dots (\lambda - \lambda_d)^{e_d}$$

$$\text{note } e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_d = k$$

Then, the general solution to (*) is given by.

$$\begin{aligned} a_n = & \lambda_1^n (b_{0,1} + b_{1,1} n + b_{2,1} n^2 + \dots + b_{e_1-1,1} n^{e_1-1}) \\ & + \lambda_2^n (b_{0,2} + b_{1,2} n + b_{2,2} n^2 + \dots + b_{e_2-1,2} n^{e_2-1}) \\ & \vdots \\ & + \lambda_d^n (b_{0,d} + b_{1,d} n + b_{2,d} n^2 + \dots + b_{e_d-1,d} n^{e_d-1}) \end{aligned}$$

instead of trying to memorize the equation, keep in mind:

(1) every distinct root contributes to the solution

(2) each _____ has a contributing polynomial.

e.g.

$$a_n = 2a_{n-1} - a_{n-2}$$

then,

$$\lambda_1 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad a_n = 1^n (b_0 + b_1 n)$$
$$e_1 = 2 \quad \quad \quad = b_0 + b_1 n$$

→ given initial conditions $a_1 = 1$ and $a_2 = 2$

$$\Rightarrow a_1 = 1 = b_0 + b_1(1)$$

$$a_2 = 2 = b_0 + b_1(2)$$

$$\Rightarrow b_1 = 1 \quad b_0 = 0 \quad a_n = n \quad \checkmark$$

e.g. 2

$$a_n = 10a_{n-1} - 33a_{n-2} + 36a_{n-3}$$

→ characteristic eq.

$$\lambda^3 = 10\lambda^2 - 33\lambda + 36$$

⇒ it is difficult to find roots of degree ≥ 3 and impossible to find formula for finding roots for degree > 4 .

Galois Theory: measures complexity of eqs and polynomial degree > 4 is "too complex?"

⇒ More on MA450 or 453.

For degree = 3

Thm

If polynomial $p(x)$ has integer coefficient and the leading coefficient is 1, then all nice (integer) roots are the divisors of the constant term...

In our case, constant term is 36 and we can test...

$$\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \pm 4, \pm 6, \pm 9, \pm 12, \pm 18, \pm 36$$

for possible roots.

we find:

$$\lambda_1 = 3 \quad e_1 = 2$$

$$\lambda_2 = 4 \quad e_2 = 1$$

Then, by theorem

$$a_n = 3^n (b_0 + b_1 n) + 4^n (b_2)$$

given initial condition $a_0 = 1$ $a_1 = 2$ $a_2 = 0$;

$$(1) \quad a_0 = 1 = 3^0 (b_0 + b_1(0)) + 4^0 (b_2) = b_0 + b_2$$

$$a_1 = 2 = 3^1 (b_0 + b_1(1)) + 4^1 (b_2) = 3b_0 + 3b_1 + 4b_2$$

$$a_2 = 0 = 3^2 (b_0 + 2b_1) + 4^2 (b_2) = 9b_0 + 18b_1 + 16b_2$$

in matrix rep.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 3 & 4 & 2 \\ 9 & 18 & 16 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{ref}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 14 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -3 \end{bmatrix}$$

thus, $b_1 = 4$ $b_2 = \frac{2}{3}$ $b_3 = -3$

$$\Rightarrow a_n = 4 \cdot 3^n + \frac{2}{3} \cdot n \cdot 3^n + 4^n (-3)$$

The Inhomogeneous Case

Ex $a_n = a_{n-1} + 1$

Idea: First solve the homogeneous case ("corresponding homogeneous" equation), and then solve look up one special solution to the inhomogeneous case.

Homogeneous: $a_n = a_{n-1}$

characteristic Eq: $\lambda^* = 1$ and $\lambda_1 = 1$ $e_1 = 1$

and $a_n = 1^n (b_0) = 1^n b_0$

← first approx of $a_n = a_{n-1} + 1$

Inhomogeneous.

By inspection of $a_n = a_{n-1} + 1 \dots$ same as counting. or $a_n = n$

so we have:

$$a_n^{\text{particular}} = a_{n-1}^{\text{particular}} + 1$$

$$a_n^{\text{homogeneous}} = a_{n-1}^{\text{homogeneous}}$$

$$(a_n^{\text{particular}} + a_n^{\text{homogeneous}}) = (a_{n-1}^{\text{particular}} + a_{n-1}^{\text{homogeneous}}) + 1$$

take up initial condition. = fits + he

has a free parameter